arXiv:1410.3807v1 [math.RT] 14 Oct 2014

HIGHER LAPLACIANS ON PSEUDO-HERMITIAN SYMMETRIC SPACES

BENJAMIN SCHWARZ

Abstract. Let $X = G/H$ be a symmetric space for a real simple Lie group G, equipped with a G-invariant complex structure. Then, X is a pseudo-Hermitian manifold, and in this geometric setting, higher Laplacians L_m are defined for each positive integer m, which generalize the ordinary Laplace-Beltrami operator. We show that $L_1, L_3, \ldots, L_{2r-1}$ form a set of algebraically independent generators for the algebra $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$ of G-invariant differential operators on X, where r denotes the rank of X. This confirms a conjecture of Engliš and Peetre, originally stated for the class of Hermitian symmetric spaces.

Introduction

Let X be a semisimple symmetric space, i.e., $X = G/H$ for a connected real semisimple Lie group G and closed subgroup $H \subseteq G$ satisfying

$$G_0^\sigma \subseteq H \subseteq G^\sigma$$

for some involution σ on G, where G^σ denotes the fixed points of σ, and G_0^σ is the identity component of G^σ. One of the most fundamental results concerning harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces states, that the algebra $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$ of G-invariant differential operators on X is a polynomial algebra with r generators, where r is the rank of the symmetric space.

One of these generators can be chosen to be the Laplace–Beltrami operator, whose definition is based on the rich geometric structure underlying the symmetric space. In fact, X can equivalently be defined as a connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold with the property, that the geodesic reflection s_x about any point $x \in X$ extends to a global isometry on X, and such that the Ricci tensor associated to the metric is non-degenerate. Then, G can be taken as the displacement group, generated by all products $s_x s_y$ with $x, y \in X$, H is the stabilizer subgroup of some fixed base point $o \in X$, and σ is the involution on G given by conjugation with s_o. It is known that G coincides with the identity component of the isometry group of X, so it is the largest connected semisimple group acting isometrically and effectively on X.

Date: October 15, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32A50; Secondary 53C35, 32M15, 22E46.

We consider the problem to find a full set of geometrically defined generators for $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$. In this article, we restrict our attention to semisimple symmetric spaces that admit a metric compatible complex structure, called (semisimple) pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces.

For a general pseudo-Hermitian manifold, i.e., a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with metric compatible complex structure, the definition of the Laplace-Beltrami operator naturally generalizes to the definition of a series L_m, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, of higher Laplacians, see Section 1 for details. Here, L_m is a differential operator of order $2m$, and L_1 coincides with the usual Laplace–Beltrami operator. By construction, these operators are invariant under automorphisms (i.e., biholomorphic isometries) of X. In the symmetric setting, we thus obtain G-invariant operators.

For Hermitian manifolds, higher Laplacians have been introduced by Engliš and Peetre [3], and for Hermitian symmetric spaces (i.e. $H = K$ is a compact subgroup of G), they proposed the following conjecture:

Conjecture (Engliš–Peetre '96)**.** *On a simple Hermitian symmetric space $X = G/K$, the higher Laplacians L_m, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, generate the whole algebra $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$ of G-invariant differential operators on X.*

In order to state our main result, recall that the action of H on the tangent space over the base point defines the so called isotropy representation of X.

Theorem. *Let $X = G/H$ be a simple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space of rank r with irreducible isotropy representation. Then, the higher Laplacians*

$$L_1,\ L_3,\ \ldots,\ L_{2r-1}$$

form a set of algebraically independent generators of the algebra $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$ of G-invariant differential operators on X. Moreover, for m even, L_m is a polynomial in $L_1, L_3 \ldots, L_{m-1}$.

In particular, this solves the conjecture of Engliš and Peetre for simple Hermitian symmetric spaces, since the condition on the isotropy representation is automatic in this case. More precisely, one can show [11], that the isotropy representation of a simple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space $X = G/H$ is reducible if and only if X is the 'complexification' of a simple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space $X' = G'/H'$, i.e., $X = G'_{\mathbb{C}}/H'_{\mathbb{C}}$. In the reducible case, the higher Laplacians do not generate the full algebra of G-invariant differential operators, see Remark 2.4.

Since the higher Laplacians are invariant not only for the displacement group G, but also for the full automorphism group of X, we note the following immediate consequence.

Corollary. *Let $X = G/H$ be a simple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space with irreducible isotropy representation. Then, any G-invariant differential operator is also $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$-invariant.*

For Hermitian symmetric spaces, this has been noticed before by Engliš [2, § 5].

In its original formulation, the conjecture of Engliš and Peetre is not restricted to simple Hermitian symmetric spaces, but also includes semisimple Hermitian symmetric spaces. Then, however, it is necessary to replace the displacement group G by the full automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ of X, consisting of all biholomorphic isometries of X. In [3], Engliš and Peetre verified this modified conjecture by explicit calculations for the case of the polydisc $X = \mathbb{B}^N$ with $N = 2, 3$, where $\mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is the Poincaré disc. We extend this result to the N-fold product $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_1$ of any rank-1 pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space X_1. So far, the full conjecture concerning semisimple Hermitian or pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces remains unsolved.

Former results and further comments. For simple Hermitian symmetric spaces of rank 1, the conjecture of Engliš and Peetre simplifies to the classical result that the Laplace–Beltrami operator generates $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$. Zhang [18] proved this conjecture for rank 2, and rank 3 was settled by Engliš [2]. In both approaches, one aims for explicit formulas for the higher Laplacians in terms of algebraically defined generators for $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$. Such formulas are essentially obtained by comparing the (full) symbols of the various operators at the base point $o \in X$. However, since the symbol map is not an algebra homomorphism, this approach is computationally demanding, and gets quite complicated for higher order operators.

One of the main difficulties in dealing with the higher Laplacians is the following: It is known that $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$ is generated by r differential operators of order $2, 4, \ldots, 2r$. Therefore, one might first assert that $L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r$ are generators for $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$. However, a short calculation shows that L_m and L_1^m have the same principal symbol. Similarly, one finds relations for all terms of degree $> m$, and as our analysis shows, it is precisely the term of order m within L_m that determines whether L_m is algebraically independent of $L_1, \ldots, L_{m-1}$.

For the proof of our main theorem, we utilize the realization of G-invariant differential operators on X as H-invariant elements in the universal algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Algebraically, the geometric structure of X provides a grading of the complexified Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{q}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{q}_+$. We show that the higher Laplacian L_m is represented by the element

$$L_m \equiv \sum_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m=1}^{n} Y_{\alpha_1} \cdots Y_{\alpha_m} X_{\alpha_1} \cdots X_{\alpha_m}, \tag{0.1}$$

where $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha=1,\ldots,n}$ and $(Y_\alpha)_{\alpha=1,\ldots,n}$ are (up to a constant) dual bases of $\mathfrak{q}_+$ and $\mathfrak{q}_-$ with respect to the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. According to the commutation relations in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, one can manipulate the representative of L_m in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, and obtains a variety of different H-invariant elements,

all having in common that the H-invariance is a consequence of the summation of dually paired bases. The main idea is to define and investigate a combinatorial model for such H-invariant elements in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, see Section 3 for details.

In the context of Hermitian symmetric spaces with $H = K$, operators similar to the higher Laplacians have originally been introduced by Shimura [14]: Let $S\mathfrak{q}_+$ denote the symmetric algebra over $\mathfrak{q}_+$, considered as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. For a simple K-module $Z \subseteq S\mathfrak{q}_+$, Shimura defines the operator

$$L_Z = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_Z} Y_\nu X_\nu,$$

where $(X_\nu)_{\nu=1,\dots,n_Z}$ is a basis for $Z \subseteq S\mathfrak{q}_+$ and $(Y_\nu)_{\nu=1,\dots,n_Z}$ is the dual basis with respect to the pairing of $S\mathfrak{q}_+$ and $S\mathfrak{q}_-$ induced by the Killing form. The restriction to simple K-modules is not necessary here, and we note that for $Z = S_m\mathfrak{q}_+$, the operator L_Z coincides with L_m up to a constant multiple. It follows that L_m is a sum of Shimura operators, as Zhang already noted [18]. Shimura determines a set $Z_1, \dots, Z_r$ of simple K-modules such that $L_{Z_1}, \dots, L_{Z_r}$ are algebraically independent generators of $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$. We note however, that these operators are not geometrically defined operators as it is the case for the higher Laplacians.

Organization. In the first section, we introduce Cauchy–Riemann operators and higher Laplacians on general pseudo-Hermitian manifolds. This is a slight generalization of the definition given by Engliš and Peetre [3]. In Section 2, we recall the basic structure theory for pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces, and briefly discuss the connection between G-invariant differential operators, the universal enveloping algebra and the Harish-Chandra isomorphism. Our main Theorem 2.3 is stated. We define a special set of generators for $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$ which is needed to identify certain terms in the higher Laplacians. Finally, we identify the higher Laplacian L_m with an element of the universal enveloping algebra as stated in (0.1). In the third section we develop the combinatorial model for a certain class of G-invariant differential operators, which is then used to prove our main theorem. In Section 4 we briefly consider semisimple pseudo-Hermitian spaces. We show that the arguments in the proof of our main theorem still apply in the case of the N-fold product of rank-1 pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces.

Acknowledgements. I like to thank Jean-Stefan Koskivirta for giving a counter example on a crucial lemma of the first version of this article. This helped to clarify the arguments, which fortunately turned out still to be applicable, eventually in the present form of this article. I am also thankful to Job Kuit and Maarten van Pruijssen for various discussions about semisimple symmetric spaces.

1. Higher Laplacians on pseudo-Hermitian manifolds

We define higher Laplacian operators in the general setting of pseudo-Hermitian manifolds following the exposition in [3], where the Hermitian case is discussed.

Let (X, g, J) be a pseudo-Hermitian manifold, i.e., X is a smooth manifold equipped with a pseudo-Riemannian metric g and a metric compatible complex structure J. Let $T_{\mathbb{C}} = T^{1,0} \oplus T^{0,1}$ denote the splitting of the complexified tangent bundle of X into the holomorphic and the anti-holomorphic tangent bundle, and let $h\colon T^{1,0} \times T^{0,1} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the restriction of the bilinearly extended complexified metric $g_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then, h is non-degenerate and hence defines a $\mathbb{C}$-linear pairing of $T^{1,0}_x$ and $T^{0,1}_x$ for each $x \in X$. Let $E \to X$ be a holomorphic vector bundle on X. The *covariant Cauchy–Riemann operator* $\overline{D}_E$ of E is defined by the composition

$$C^\infty(X, E) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} C^\infty(X, E \otimes (T^{0,1})^*) \xrightarrow{h_*} C^\infty(X, E \otimes T^{1,0}),$$

$$\overline{D}_E$$

where h_* denotes is the canonical isomorphism induced by the pairing h. Since $E \otimes T^{1,0}$ is again a holomorphic vector bundle, iterates of the Cauchy–Riemann operator are defined in the obvious way. By abuse of notation, we simply write

$$\overline{D}^m := \overline{D} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{D}\colon C^\infty(X, E) \to C^\infty(X, E \otimes (T^{1,0})^{\otimes m}).$$

As a remark, we note that if (X, g, J) is (pseudo-)Kählerian, i.e., the 2-form $g(J\cdot, \cdot)$ is closed, then one can show that $\overline{D}^m f$ is actually a section in $E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_m$, where $\mathrm{Sym}_m \subseteq (T^{1,0})^{\otimes m}$ denotes the subbundle of symmetric tensors. This observation is originally due to Shimura [12, Lemma 2.0], and is used extensively in the theory of nearly holomorphic sections [9, 10, 13, 19]. For the present article, this additional structure is not needed, even though we note that pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces are automatically (pseudo-)Kählerian.

In order to define higher Laplacians, we fix a pseudo-Hermitian structure on E, i.e., a smoothly varying non-degenerate (not necessarily positive) Hermitian form $\langle\,|\,\rangle_x$ on each fiber E_x, $x \in X$. For the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}$ such a structure is given by $h(X_1, \overline{X}_2)$, where $\overline{X}_2$ denotes complex conjugation on $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to J. For compactly supported sections f_1, f_2 in E, we define

$$\langle f_1 | f_2 \rangle_E := \int_X \langle f_1(x) | f_2(x) \rangle_x \, \omega_X(x),$$

where ω_X denotes the volume form given by the pseudo-Riemannian metric g on X. The formal adjoint $D^m := (\overline{D}^m)^*$ of $\overline{D}^m$ is defined by

the relation

$$\langle \overline{D}^m f | g \rangle_{E \otimes (T^{1,0})^{\otimes m}} = \langle f | D^m g \rangle_E \tag{1.1}$$

for all compactly supported sections f in E and g in $E \otimes (T^{1,0})^{\otimes m}$. Then,

$$D^m \colon C^\infty(X, E \otimes (T^{1,0})^{\otimes m}) \to C^\infty(X, E)$$

is again a differential operator of order m, and the *higher Laplacian operator* L_m is the differential operator of order $2m$ defined by

$$L_m := (-1)^m D^m \overline{D}^m \colon C^\infty(X, E) \to C^\infty(X, E).$$

In particular, for the trivial line bundle $E = X \times \mathbb{C}$, we obtain operators $L_1, L_2, \ldots$ acting on functions on X. In holomorphic coordinates $z = (z^1, \ldots, z^n)$ on an open subset of X, one easily derives that the first Laplacian L_1 is given by

$$L_1 f = -D\overline{D} f = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{|h|} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \left(|h| h^{ji} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}^j} \right),$$

where $|h|$ is the determinant of the matrix $h_{ij} := h(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j})$, and h^{ji} denote the entries of the inverse matrix of h_{ij}. This is the well-known local formula for the ordinary Laplace–Beltrami operator of X.

It is straightforward to see that the Cauchy–Riemann operator $\overline{D}^m$ and its adjoint D^m commute with the action of biholomorphic Hermitian bundle isomorphisms of E which act isometrically on the base X. Therefore, each higher Laplacian L_m is invariant under such isomorphisms.

2. Higher Laplacians on simple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces

2.1. **Pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces.** Let X be a semisimple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space as defined (in geometric terms) in the introduction. Let G be the displacement group of X, which is a connected semisimple Lie group. In fact, G coincides with the connected identity component of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ of X, which consists of biholomorphic isometries. Let $o \in X$ be a fixed base point with symmetry s_o, and let $H \subseteq G$ be the stabilizer subgroup of o in G. Let σ denote the involution of G given by conjugation with s_o. We recall some standard facts about pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces, and refer to [11] for detailed information.

Any semisimple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space is simply connected, and hence decomposes according to the deRham–Wu decomposition [17] into a product of simple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces. For the following, we assume that X is simple, which corresponds to the assumption that the displacement group G is simple. For this, we note that a simple complex Lie group G, considered as a pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space via the standard construction $G \cong G \times G / \mathrm{diag}(G)$, is

not a pseudo-Hermitian manifold, since the complex structure of G is not compatible with the pseudo-Riemannian metric.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}$ denote the Lie algebras of G and H, and let σ also denote the involution induced by the adjoint action of s_o on $\mathfrak{g}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{q} \tag{2.1}$$

be the decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ into the $+1$ and -1 eigenspace of σ. Complexification of a Lie algebra is denoted by a corresponding index, e.g. $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{g} \oplus i\mathfrak{g}$. For $X = X_1 + iX_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $\overline{X} := X_1 - iX_2$ denote complex conjugation on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Geometrically, $\mathfrak{q}$ corresponds to the real tangent space at $o \in X$, $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponds to the complex tangent space, and the complex structure J_o on T_oX induces the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{q}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{q}_- \oplus \mathfrak{q}_+, \tag{2.2}$$

whose parts can be identified with the holomorphic and the anti-holomorphic tangent space at $o \in X$. We note that $\overline{\mathfrak{q}_+} = \mathfrak{q}_-$. The metric on $\mathfrak{q} \cong T_oX$ defines a non-degenerate symmetric complex bilinear form

$$(\,|\,)\colon \mathfrak{q}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathfrak{q}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.3}$$

Since X is simple, this form coincides up to a constant multiple with the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, restricted to $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore, (2.3) extends to a bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and this extension is associative, i.e., $([X,Y]|Z) = (X|[Y,Z])$. The restriction of (2.3) to $\mathfrak{q}_+ \times \mathfrak{q}_-$ correlates to the pairing h of the holomorphic and the anti-holomorphic tangent bundle at the base point $o \in X$.

The existence of a metric compatible complex structure on X corresponds to the fact that $\mathfrak{h}$ has non-trivial center acting with pure imaginary eigenvalues on $\mathfrak{q}$. Moreover, the decomposition (2.2) is also induced by the adjoint action of a unique central element $Z_0 \in i\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$, and it follows that $\mathfrak{g}$ admits the grading

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{q}_+, \quad \mathfrak{q}_\pm = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \,|\, [Z_0, X] = \pm X\}.$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{q}_\pm$ are abelian subalgebras. The adjoint action of H on $\mathfrak{q}$ is called the isotropy representation of X. Even though X is simple, this representation can be reducible. More precisely, the isotropy representation of X is irreducible if and only if the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is simple. In this case, the 'complexified' pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space $X_{\mathbb{C}} = G_{\mathbb{C}}/H_{\mathbb{C}}$ is again a simple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space, but with reducible isotropy representation.

There is a non-compact Hermitian symmetric space X^r associated to X, called the Hermitian form of X, by the following construction: Let θ be a Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}$ which commutes with σ. Then, the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ is compatible with (2.1), and we obtain

$$\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{q}) \oplus (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}).$$

The Hermitian form of X is defined by $X^r := G^d/K^d$ with G^d and K^d determined by their Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}^d$ and $\mathfrak{k}^d$,

$$\mathfrak{g}^d := \mathfrak{k}^d \oplus \mathfrak{p}^d \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{k}^d := (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus i(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}), \\ \mathfrak{p}^d := (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}) \oplus i(\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{q}). \end{cases}$$

Indeed, if $\sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the complex linear extension of σ to $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, then $\theta^d := \sigma_{\mathbb{C}}|_{\mathfrak{g}^d}$ is a Cartan involution for $\mathfrak{g}^d$ with fixed point set $\mathfrak{k}^d$. Moreover, one can show that iZ_0 is fixed by θ (see e.g. [1, Proposition 10]), hence $iZ_0 \in \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ is a non-trivial central element of $\mathfrak{k}^d$. This shows, that the Riemannian symmetric space $X^r = G^d/K^d$ associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \mathfrak{k}^d)$ is indeed Hermitian. We also note that

$$\mathfrak{k}^d = \mathfrak{g}^d \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}, \ \mathfrak{p}^d = \mathfrak{g}^d \cap \mathfrak{q}_{\mathbb{C}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{p}_+ = \mathfrak{q}_+, \ \mathfrak{p}_- = \mathfrak{q}_-,$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_\pm$ is the ± 1-eigenspace of $\mathfrak{p}^d_{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponding to the complex structure (induced by the adjoint action of Z_0) on $\mathfrak{p}^d$. A straightforward calculation also shows that the isotropy representation of X is irreducible if and only if the Hermitian form X^r is simple. In the reducible case, X^r splits into the product $X^r = X^r_0 \times X^r_0$ of two copies of a non-compact simple Hermitian symmetric space X^r_0.

Recall that a Cartan subspace of $\mathfrak{q}$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ consisting of semi-simple elements. Any Cartan subspace has the same dimension, $r = \dim \mathfrak{a}$, which is called the *rank* of X. We will need a particular choice of a basis for a Cartan subspace.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ be a Cartan subspace. There exists a basis $A_1, \dots, A_r$ of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the following properties: Let $E_j \in \mathfrak{q}_+$ and $F_j \in \mathfrak{q}_-$ be defined by $A_j = E_j + F_j$, and $H_j := [E_j, F_j]$. Then,*

$$[E_j, F_j] =: H_j, \quad [H_j, E_j] = E_j, \quad [H_j, F_j] = -F_j, \tag{2.4}$$

and $[E_j, F_k] = 0$ for $j \neq k$. Moreover, $(E_j|F_j)$ is non-zero and independent of $1 \leq j \leq r$. Set

$$c_0 := (E_j|F_j). \tag{2.5}$$

Then, $(A_j|A_k) = 2c_0\, \delta_{jk}$ for $1 \leq j, k \leq r$.

Proof. We reduce this statement to a well-known result on non-compact Hermitian symmetric spaces by use of the Hermitian form X^r of X defined above. Recall that any Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{q}$ is H-conjugate to a θ-invariant Cartan subspace. We thus may assume that $\mathfrak{a}$ is θ-invariant. Then, $\mathfrak{a}^d = i(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus (\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ is a Cartan subspace of $\mathfrak{p}^d$. For non-compact Hermitian symmetric spaces as X^r, Harish-Chandra introduced a Cartan subspace in $\mathfrak{p}^d$ with appropriate basis by his construction of strongly orthogonal roots, see [4, II.§ 6]. Moreover, due to Moore [7], all strongly orthogonal roots have the same length. Since any two Cartan subspaces in $\mathfrak{p}^d$ are conjugate by an element of K^d, this provides a real basis of $\mathfrak{a}^d$ satisfying all properties we demand. Since $\mathfrak{a}^d_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$, this proves our statement. □

Remark 2.2. For a Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, the basis $A_1, \dots, A_r$ of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by Lemma 2.1 can in general not be chosen as real a basis for $\mathfrak{a}$, since the relation $(A_j|A_k) = 2c_0\,\delta_{jk}$ would imply that the metric g on X is positive (respectively negative) definite.

2.2. **Invariant differential operators.** Let $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$ denote the algebra of G-invariant operators on X. Examples of such operators are given by the higher Laplacians L_m, $m \geq 0$, defined in the first section. A fundamental result on symmetric spaces mainly due to Harish-Chandra asserts that the algebra $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$ is commutative and finitely generated by r algebraically independent elements, where r is the rank of X. The main goal of this article is to prove the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $X = G/H$ be a simple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space of rank r with irreducible isotropy representation. Then, the higher Laplacians*

$$L_1,\ L_3, \dots,\ L_{2r-1}$$

form a set of algebraically independent generators for $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$. Moreover, for m even, L_m is a polynomial in $L_1, L_3, \dots, L_{m-1}$.

Recall that Harish-Chandra's result is established essentially in two steps. One first identifies H-invariant elements of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with G-invariant differential operators on X in the following way: Describe smooth functions on X as right H-invariant smooth functions on G,

$$C^\infty(X) \cong \{f{:}\, G \to \mathbb{C} \text{ smooth} \,|\, f(gh) = f(g) \text{ for all } g \in G, h \in H\},$$

and extend the right action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $f \in C^\infty(G)$ to a right action of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ on $C^\infty(G)$. Then, the action of H-invariant elements $Y \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^H$ restricts to an action on $C^\infty(X)$. The result is a surjective morphism of algebras,

$$\mathcal{R}{:}\,\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^H \to \mathbb{D}_G(X),\ Y \mapsto \mathcal{R}_Y,$$

and it turns out that the kernel is $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^H$, so

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^H/(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^H) \cong \mathbb{D}_G(X). \tag{2.6}$$

This identification of algebras holds more generally for any reductive homogeneous space, see e.g. [5].
The second step is specific to symmetric spaces and identifies the left hand side of (2.6) with the algebra of W-invariant elements in the symmetric algebra $S\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ is a Cartan subspace, and W is the Weyl group attached to the (restricted) root system $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$. More precisely, choose a positive system in $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the corresponding complex Iwasawa decomposition. This induces a vector space decomposition of the enveloping algebra,

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = (\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) + \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}) \oplus S\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}},$$

which defines the projection

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \to S\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}},\ Y \mapsto Y_{\mathfrak{a}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Considering $Y_{\mathfrak{a}}$ as a differential operator on $\mathfrak{a}$, the main result in this context states that

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^H \to (S\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W,\ Y \mapsto e^{-\rho}\, Y_{\mathfrak{a}} \circ e^{\rho} \tag{2.8}$$

is a surjective morphism of algebras with kernel $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^H$, where $\rho \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is half the trace of the adjoint action on $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}$. In combination, (2.6) and (2.8) lead to the famous Harish-Chandra isomorphism

$$\gamma\colon \mathbb{D}_G(X) \to (S\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W. \tag{2.9}$$

Finally, the work of Chevalley on finite reflection groups shows that the algebra of W-invariants in $S\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is generated by $r = \dim \mathfrak{a}$ algebraically independent elements.

In practice, however, it is notoriously hard to determine $\gamma(D)$ for an explicitly given operator $D \in \mathbb{D}_G(X)$, say except for the Laplace-Beltrami operator L_1. In order to prove Theorem 2.3, we analyze the higher Laplacians within a particular class of H-invariant elements in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. This class of operators is defined by a combinatorial model, see Section 3. Our analysis shows that the higher Laplacian L_m is a polynomial of G-invariant operators of order $\leq m$. Finally, we compare the operators of order m within this polynomial via the Harish-Chandra isomorphism with a given set of generators for $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$. This will eventually prove Theorem 2.3.

Remark 2.4. We note that Theorem 2.3 fails for simple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces with reducible isotropy representation: Consider the Hermitian dual X^r of X. It is a consequence of (2.6), that the algebras $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$ and $\mathbb{D}_{G^d}(X^r)$ are naturally isomorphic. If the isotropy representation is reducible, then X^r splits into the product $X^r = X_0^r \times X_0^r$ of two copies of a simple non-compact Hermitian symmetric spaces $X_0^r = G_0/H_0$, and $G^d = G_0 \times G_0$. In this case, there exist G^d-invariant differential operators on X^r that are not invariant under the full automorphism group of X^r, which in particular contains the permutation of the two copies of X_0^r in X^r. Since the higher Laplacians are invariant under arbitrary automorphisms of X^r, it follows that the higher Laplacians cannot generate $\mathbb{D}_{G^d}(X^r)$ and hence also cannot generate $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$.

2.3. **Generators.** We fix a particular set of generators for $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$ by means of the Harish-Chandra isomorphism γ. Let $A_1, \dots, A_r$ be the basis of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by Lemma 2.1, and let $A_1', \dots, A_r'$ denote the basis dual to $A_1, \dots, A_r$ with respect to (2.3). In fact, $A_j' = \frac{1}{2c_0} A_j$. Instead of considering the symmetric algebra $S\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we prefer to work in the dual setting, i.e., we identify $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with its dual $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ with respect to (2.3), which

also induces an algebra isomorphism between the symmetric algebra $S\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the polynomial algebra $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$p_k(\zeta) := \zeta_1^{2k} + \cdots + \zeta_r^{2k}, \quad \zeta \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\zeta_j := (\zeta | A_j')$, i.e., $\zeta = \sum \zeta_j A_j$. As before, let W be the Weyl group of the restricted root system $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Lemma 2.5. *If X is simple with irreducible isotropy representation, then the polynomials $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ are W-invariant and form a set of algebraically independent generators for $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, consider the Hermitian form X^r of X, which yields the same restricted root system. Irreducibiliy of the isotropy representation now implies that G^d is simple. Due to Moore [7], it then follows that $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is of type C_r or BC_r. In either case, the Weyl group W consists of signed permutations of the basis vectors A_j in $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore, W-invariant polynomials are symmetric polynomials in $\zeta_1^2, \ldots, \zeta_r^2$, and by Newton's identities it is known that $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ from a set of algebraically independent generators for $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W$. □

By means of the Harish-Chandra isomorphism, we define

$$D_k := \gamma^{-1}(p_k) \in \mathbb{D}_G(X), k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For simple X with irreducible isotropy representation, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that $D_1, \ldots, D_r \in \mathbb{D}_G(X)$ form a set of algebraically independent generators for $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$. For later use, we note the following observation, which is a consequence of the homogeneity of the generators $p_1, \ldots, p_r$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let X be simple with irreducible isotropy representation, and let $D \in \mathbb{D}_G(X)$. Then, D has even order $2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, if $k \leq r$, then there exists a unique polynomial P in k variables, such that $D = P(D_1, \ldots, D_k)$.*

Proof. Due to the Harish-Chandra isomorphism, this statement translates to a statement on W-invariant polynomials on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$, since γ relates differential operators of order d with polynomials of degree d. Therefore, since the generators $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ have even degrees, a G-invariant operator necessarily has even order. Now let p be a W-invariant polynomial. Since $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ are algebraically independent, there exists a unique polynomial $P(t_1, \ldots, t_r)$ in r variables, such that $p = P(p_1, \ldots, p_r)$. It remains to show that for $k < r$, P is independent of $t_{k+1}, \ldots, t_r$. We may assume that p is homogeneous, since homogeneous components of W-invariant polynomials are again W-invariant. Since p_k is homogeneous of degree $2k$, it follows that

$$\lambda^{2k} p = P(\lambda^2 p_1, \lambda^4 p_2, \cdots, \lambda^{2r} p_r) \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.11}$$

Considering the expansion $P = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}} t^{\mathbf{i}}$ with multi-indices $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$, and comparing the degrees of λ in (2.11), it follows that $a_{\mathbf{i}} = 0$ if $i_\ell > 0$ for some $\ell > k$. Therefore, P just depends on $t_1, \dots, t_k$. □

2.4. **Higher Laplacians.** We identify the higher Laplacians with H-invariant elements in the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ along the isomorphism given in (2.6). Recall that the complexified metric (2.3) defines a bilinear pairing of $\mathfrak{q}_+$ and $\mathfrak{q}_-$. We fix a basis $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha=1,\dots,n}$ of $\mathfrak{q}_+$, and let $(Y_\alpha)_{\alpha=1,\dots,n}$ denote the basis of $\mathfrak{q}_-$ dual to $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha=1,\dots,n}$.

Proposition 2.7. *The algebra isomorphism* (2.6) *identifies the higher Laplacian* L_m *with*

$$L_m = \sum_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m=1}^{n} Y_{\alpha_1} \cdots Y_{\alpha_m} X_{\alpha_1} \cdots X_{\alpha_m} \mod \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^H.$$

Proof. We consider the action of L_m on smooth functions f, represented as right H-invariant functions on G. We first recall some basic facts about holomorphic vector bundles on X. A smooth G-homogeneous vector bundle E can be realized as a fibered product $E = G \times^H E_o$, where the canonical fiber E_o is an H-module. This also defines a representation of $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ on E_o, denoted by $\tau\colon \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathrm{End}(E_o)$. According to [15], a holomorphic structure on E corresponds to an extension of τ to a representation of $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{q}_+ \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, a smooth section in E is represented by a smooth map $f\colon G \to E_o$ satisfying $f(gh) = h^{-1}.f(g)$ for all $g \in G$, $h \in H$, and f is holomorphic if and only if $\mathcal{R}_X f(g) + X.f(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G$, $X \in \mathfrak{q}_-$. It follows, that the del-bar operator $\bar\partial$ on E is given by

$$\bar\partial f\colon G \to E \otimes (\mathfrak{q}_+)^*,\ \bar\partial f(g)(X) = \mathcal{R}_X f(g) + \tau(X) f(g), \quad X \in \mathfrak{q}_+.$$

In case of the holomorphic and the anti-holomorphic tangent bundles,

$$T^{1,0} = G \times^H \mathfrak{q}_-, \quad T^{0,1} = G \times^H \mathfrak{q}_+,$$

the action of H on the canonical fibers $\mathfrak{q}_+$ and $\mathfrak{q}_-$ is given by the adjoint representation, and $\mathfrak{q}_+$ acts trivially in both cases. The same holds for the m'th tensor product $(T^{1,0})^{\otimes m}$ with canonical fiber $\mathfrak{q}_-^{\otimes m}$. Therefore, the del-bar operator on a section in $(T^{1,0})^{\otimes m}$ simplifies to $\bar\partial f(g)(X) = \mathcal{R}_X f(g)$, and it follows that the action of the Cauchy–Riemann operator $\overline{D}$ on f is given by

$$\overline{D} f(g) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}_{X_\alpha} f(g) \otimes Y_\alpha.$$

As a consequence, the m'th iterate of $\overline{D}$ on a function $f \in C^\infty(X)$ reads

$$\overline{D}^m f(g) = \sum_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}_{X_{\alpha_1}} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{X_{\alpha_m}} f(g)\, Y_{\alpha_m} \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{\alpha_1}. \tag{2.12}$$

It remains to determine the formal adjoint of $\overline{D}^m$ defined by (1.1). Recall from [16, § 5.5] the following result: Let $E = G \times^H E_o$ and

$F = G \times^H F_o$ be G-homogeneous vector bundles on X, and fix H-invariant non-degenerate Hermitian forms on E_o and F_o, which define non-degenerate Hermitian forms on E and F. The natural action of an element $D \in (\mathrm{End}(E_o, F_o) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))^H$ on E_o-valued smooth maps on G defines a differential operator from $C^\infty(X, E)$ to $C^\infty(X, F)$. Then, the adjoint of D with respect to the Hermitian forms on E and F is given by $D^* = (\eta \otimes \delta)D$, where $\eta(T) := T^*$ is the adjoint of $T \in \mathrm{End}(E_o, F_o)$ with respect to the Hermitian forms on E_o and F_o, and δ is the anti-automorphism of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ which extends $\delta(X) = -\overline{X}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$.
In our situation, $E_o = \mathbb{C}$ with standard Hermitian form, and $F_o = \mathfrak{q}_-^{\otimes m}$ with Hermitian form defined by

$$\langle Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_m | Y_1' \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_m' \rangle := (Y_1|\overline{Y_1'}) \cdots (Y_m|\overline{Y_m'}).$$

It follows, that the adjoint D^m of $\overline{D}^m$ acts on $f = \sum f_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_m} Y_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{\beta_m}$ by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} D^m f(g) &= (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m \\ \beta_1,\dots,\beta_m}} \mathcal{R}_{\overline{X}_{\alpha_m}} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{\overline{X}_{\alpha_1}} f_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_m}(g) (Y_{\beta_1}|\overline{Y_{\alpha_1}}) \cdots (Y_{\beta_m}|\overline{Y_{\alpha_m}}) \\ &= (-1)^m \sum_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m} \mathcal{R}_{Y_{\beta_m}} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{Y_{\beta_1}} f_{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the standard formula $Y = \sum_\alpha (Y|\overline{Y}_\alpha)\overline{X}_\alpha$ valid for any $Y \in \mathfrak{q}_+$. Since $\mathfrak{q}_-$ is abelian, we may freely change the order of the operators $\mathcal{R}_{Y_{\beta_i}}$. In combination with (2.12), this proves the formula for the higher Laplacian L_m. □

3. A combinatorial model

As we have seen in the last section, the higher Laplacian L_m is represented within the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ by the element

$$\mathbb{L}_m = \sum_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m=1}^{n} Y_{\alpha_1} \cdots Y_{\alpha_m} X_{\alpha_1} \cdots X_{\alpha_m},$$

where $(X_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a fixed basis of $\mathfrak{q}_+$, and $(Y_\alpha)_\alpha$ is the dual basis of $\mathfrak{q}_-$ with respect to (2.3). In this formula, H-invariance of $\mathbb{L}_m$ is ensured by taking sums over pairs of dual vectors X_{α_j} and Y_{α_j}. Any permutation of the symbols involved in $\mathbb{L}_m$ also yields to an H-invariant element in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, and hence to a G-invariant differential operator on X, which in general essentially differs from L_m. More generally, we could consider commutators of various of the symbols in $\mathbb{L}_m$ and still obtain an H-invariant element. It is our goal in this section to study this class of H-invariant elements, and the corresponding G-invariant differential operators. We first formally define the class of elements under consideration.

3.1. **Formal language.** We introduce a formal language consisting of symbols, letters and words, which then determines a class of G-invariant operators containing the higher Laplacian L_m. Throughout this section, we fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of *symbols*, defined by

$$\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S}_+ \cup \mathcal{S}_- \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{S}_+ := \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_- := \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}.$$

Based on these symbols, we recursively define two types of *letters*, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \cup \mathcal{L}_-$, consisting of nested tuples of distinct symbols:

$$S_\pm \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pm, \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda, \mu, \lambda') \in \mathcal{L}_\pm \text{ for } \lambda, \lambda' \in \mathcal{L}_\pm,\ \mu \in \mathcal{L}_\mp,$$

if λ, μ, λ' consist of distinct symbols. For convenience, we set $\langle \lambda\mu\lambda' \rangle := (\lambda, \mu, \lambda')$. Let $\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda)$ denote the *sign* of the letter λ, i.e. $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda)}$. We note that $\mathcal{L}$ is a finite set, e.g. $m = 2$ yields

$$\mathcal{L}_+ = \{x_1, x_2, \langle x_1 y_1 x_2 \rangle, \langle x_1 y_2 x_1 \rangle, \langle x_2 y_1 x_1 \rangle, \langle x_2 y_2 x_1 \rangle\},$$

and $\mathcal{L}_-$ is obtain from $\mathcal{L}_+$ by interchanging x- and y-symbols. For $m > 2$, the brackets become important, e.g.

$$\langle x_1 \langle y_1 x_2 y_2 \rangle x_3 \rangle \neq \langle \langle x_1 y_1 x_2 \rangle y_2 x_3 \rangle.$$

Omitting all brackets, letters are sequences of distinct symbols of alternating sign.

A *word* is a finite sequence of letters, $w = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_t$ with $\lambda_j \in \mathcal{L}$. The number of letters in w is called the *length* of w and denoted by $\ell(w)$. The main object of study is the set $\mathcal{W}_m$ consisting of words in which each symbol occurs at most once, and for $1 \leq j \leq m$, the symbol x_j occurs if and only if y_j occurs. Let J_w denote the set of indices $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that x_j and y_j occur in w. We note that any word $w \in \mathcal{W}_m$ has even length. Let $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{W}_m$ denote the free abelian group generated by $\mathcal{W}_m$.

We now relate $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{W}_m$ to the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ by the following procedure: Set

$$\langle Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 \rangle := [[Z_1, Z_2], Z_3] \quad \text{for} \quad Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \in \mathfrak{g},$$

and associate to $w = w(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m) \in \mathcal{W}_m$ the element

$$\mathrm{Op}(w) := \frac{1}{n^{m-|J_w|}} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m = 1}^{n} w(X_{\alpha_1}, \dots X_{\alpha_m}, Y_{\alpha_1}, \dots, Y_{\alpha_m}) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

i.e., replace in w the symbols x_j and y_j by the basis elements X_{α_j} and Y_{α_j}, and sum over all indices. Here, the factor $n^{|J_w|-m}$ compensates the overcounting in case that w does not involve all symbols.

It is straightforward to see that $\mathrm{Op}(w)$ is independent of the choice of the mutually dual bases $(X_\alpha)_\alpha$ of $\mathfrak{q}_+$ and $(Y_\alpha)_\alpha$ of $\mathfrak{q}_-$, and it follows, that $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ is in fact an H-invariant element. By $\mathbb{Z}$-linear extension, we thus obtain the $\mathbb{Z}$-module homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Op}\colon \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{W}_m \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^H, \ w \mapsto \mathrm{Op}(w),$$

and according to (2.6) we may interpret $\mathrm{Op}(w)$ as G-invariant differential operator on $X = G/H$. In particular, we note that

$$L_m = \mathrm{Op}(w_m) \quad \text{with} \quad w_m := y_1 \cdots y_m x_1 \cdots x_m.$$

For any word $w \in \mathcal{W}_m$, the order of the differential operator $\mathrm{Op}(w)$ is obviously bounded by the length $\ell(w)$ of the word.

Remark 3.1. In the process of replacing the symbols x_j and y_j by the basis elements X_{α_j} and Y_{α_j}, respectively, the letters of a word are turned into commutators of elements in $\mathfrak{q}_+$ and $\mathfrak{q}_-$. Recall that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{q}_+$ is a grading. We thus obtain the commutation relations

$$\langle \mathfrak{q}_\pm \mathfrak{q}_\mp \mathfrak{q}_\pm \rangle = [[\mathfrak{q}_\pm, \mathfrak{q}_\mp], \mathfrak{q}_\pm] \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_\pm, \tag{3.1}$$

i.e., a letter λ is turned into an element in $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda)}$. In fact, the product (3.1) is the starting point for an algebraic description of Hermitian symmetric spaces via so called Jordan theory. We refer to [6] and [8] for a detailed description of this approach.

3.2. **Relations and graphs.** We introduce relations on words that reflect some of the identities valid in the universal enveloping algebra. Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{W}_m$ be the submodule generated by the following relations, i.e., R is the minimal submodule such that the following identities hold modulo R:

(R1) *Commutation relation I*
Letters of the same sign commute, i.e.,

$$w\lambda\lambda' w' \equiv w\lambda'\lambda w' \mod R$$

for all words w, w' and letters $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda) = \mathrm{sgn}(\lambda')$.

(R2) *Commutation relation II*
Letters of different sign satisfy

$$w\lambda\mu\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_t \equiv w\mu\lambda\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_t + \sum_{j=1}^{t} w\lambda_1 \cdots [\lambda\mu\lambda_j] \cdots \lambda_t \mod R,$$

for each word w and letters $\lambda, \mu, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda) \neq \mathrm{sgn}(\mu)$, where

$$[\lambda\mu\lambda_j] := \begin{cases} \langle \lambda\mu\lambda_j \rangle & \text{if } \mathrm{sgn}(\lambda_j) = \mathrm{sgn}(\lambda), \\ -\langle \mu\lambda\lambda_j \rangle & \text{if } \mathrm{sgn}(\lambda_j) \neq \mathrm{sgn}(\lambda). \end{cases}$$

(R3) *Permutation symmetry*
If $w \in \mathcal{W}_m$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ is a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &w(x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_m) \\ &\qquad \equiv w(x_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(m)}, y_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, y_{\tau(m)}) \mod R. \end{aligned}$$

These relations are compatible with the structure of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{W}_m$. Then, $w_1 \equiv w_2 \mod R$ implies*

$$\mathrm{Op}(w_1) \equiv \mathrm{Op}(w_2) \mod \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^H.$$

Proof. It suffices to check this statement for each of the relations (R1), (R2) and (R3). For the third relation (R3), this is obvious from the definition of Op. Fix any set of elements $X_1, \dots, X_m \in \mathfrak{q}_+$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_m \in \mathfrak{q}_-$ and for any word $w = w(x_1, \dots, y_m)$, consider the element

$$\mathrm{Op}'(w) := w(X_1, \dots, Y_m) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Since $\mathrm{Op}(w)$ is a linear combination of operators of type Op', it suffices to prove the statement for (R1) and (R2) with Op replaced by Op'. We note that

$$\mathrm{Op}'(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_t) = \mathrm{Op}'(\lambda_1) \cdots \mathrm{Op}'(\lambda_t).$$

We first consider (R1), so let λ, λ' be letters of equal sign. Note that a letter λ is mapped by Op' onto an element in $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda)}$. Since $\mathfrak{q}_+$ and $\mathfrak{q}_-$ are abelian, this implies that $\mathrm{Op}'(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{Op}'(\lambda')$ commute in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Now consider (R2), and let λ and μ have different signs. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Op}'(w\lambda\mu\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_t) &= \mathrm{Op}'(w)\,\mathrm{Op}'(\lambda)\,\mathrm{Op}'(\mu)\,\mathrm{Op}'(\lambda_1) \cdots \mathrm{Op}'(\lambda_t) \\ &= \mathrm{Op}'(w)\,\mathrm{Op}'(\mu)\,\mathrm{Op}'(\lambda)\,\mathrm{Op}'(\lambda_1) \cdots \mathrm{Op}'(\lambda_t) \\ &\quad + \mathrm{Op}'(w)[\mathrm{Op}'(\lambda), \mathrm{Op}'(\mu)]\,\mathrm{Op}'(\lambda_1) \cdots \mathrm{Op}'(\lambda_t).\end{aligned}$$

Since $[\mathfrak{q}_+, \mathfrak{q}_-] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the commutator $[\mathrm{Op}'(\lambda), \mathrm{Op}'(\mu)]$ is an element of $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Commuting this element successively to the right of the expression, yields the statement. □

We next associate to each word $w = \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{\ell(w)} \in \mathcal{W}_m$ a (pseudo-) graph $\Gamma_w = (\mathcal{V}_w, \mathcal{E}_w, \epsilon_w)$ with the following data: The set $\mathcal{V}_w$ of vertices consists of the letters that constitute w,

$$\mathcal{V}_w = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(w)}\}.$$

For the definition of edges, recall that $J_w \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ is the set of indices such that w contains x_j and y_j. Set $\mathcal{E}_w := J_w$, and let $j \in \mathcal{E}_w$ represent the edge that connects the letter $\lambda_{s(j)}$ which contains x_j with the letter $\lambda_{t(j)}$ which contains y_j. Formally, this is encoded in the map

$$\epsilon_w \colon \mathcal{E}_w \to \{\{\lambda, \lambda'\} \,|\, \lambda, \lambda' \in \mathcal{V}_w\},\ j \mapsto \{\lambda_{s(j)}, \lambda_{t(j)}\}.$$

The graph Γ_w thus contains $1 \le |J_w| \le m$ edges. As an example, the graphs associated to the words $w = y_1y_2x_1x_2$ and $w' = x_1\langle y_1x_2y_2\rangle$ are given by

We call $w \in \mathcal{W}_m$ *irreducible*, if the graph Γ_w is connected, and w is called *factorized*, if it is the product of irreducible words, i.e.,

$$w = w_1 \cdots w_N \quad \text{with irreducible } w_j \in \mathcal{W}_m.$$

Let $\mathcal{W}_m^{\mathrm{fac}} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_m$ denote the subset of factorized words in $\mathcal{W}_m$. Not every word is factorized, as e.g. the word $w_m = y_1 \cdots y_m x_1 \cdots x_m$, associated to the higher Laplacian L_m, illustrates. If $w \in \mathcal{W}_m$ is a product $w = w_1 w_2$ of (not necessarily factorized) words $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{W}_m$, it is straightforward to check that

$$\mathrm{Op}(w) = \mathrm{Op}(w_1)\,\mathrm{Op}(w_2).$$

We therefore aim to factorize words via the relations defined above.

Proposition 3.3. *Every word $w \in \mathcal{W}_m$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of factorized words modulo R, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{W}_m = \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{W}_m^{fac} + R$.*

Proof. We prove this by induction on the length of $w \in \mathcal{W}_m$. Recall that the length of a word is always an even number. Any word w of length two obviously is factorized, in fact it is irreducible, since letters have odd degree and hence there must be an edge connecting the first with the second letter. Now let $w = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_L$ be of length $L = \ell(w) > 2$, and let $\Gamma_w = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \Gamma_i$ be the decomposition of Γ_w into connected components $\Gamma_i = (\mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{E}_i, \epsilon_i)$. Then, w is factorized if and only if the vertices $\mathcal{V}_i$ in each component are adjacent letters in w, i.e., there exist $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 \cdots < t_N = L$ such that $\mathcal{V}_i = \{\lambda_j \,|\, t_{i-1} \le j \le t_i\}$ (up to a permutation of the connected components). Due to (R1) and (R2),

$$w \equiv \lambda_{\tau(1)} \cdots \lambda_{\tau(L)} + \text{words of length} < L \mod R$$

for all permutations $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_L$ of $\{1, \ldots, L\}$. For suitable τ, this transforms w into a factorized word modulo words of shorter length. Applying the induction hypothesis, this proves the statement. □

3.3. **Main result.** We are now prepared to prove our main theorem. Recall the system $D_1, \ldots, D_r$ of algebraically independent generators for $\mathbb{D}(G/H)$ defined in Section 2.3.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a simple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space of rank r with irreducible isotropy representation. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the higher Laplacian L_m is given by*

$$L_{2k+1} = c_k D_{k+1} + \textit{polynomial in } D_1, \ldots, D_k,$$

if $m = 2k+1$ is odd, where c_k is a non-zero constant, and

$$L_{2k} = \textit{polynomial in } D_1, \ldots, D_k,$$

if $m = 2k$ is even.

Our main Theorem 2.3 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.4, since the relation between $L_1, L_3, \ldots, L_{2r-1}$ and $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_r$ can easily be inverted. Therefore, $L_1, L_3, \ldots, L_{2r-1}$ form a set of algebraically

independent generators for $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$. For m even, Theorem 3.4 also shows that L_m is a polynomial in $L_1, L_3, \dots, L_{m-1}$.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.4. For $m \geq 2r$, this statement is obvious, since $D_1, \dots, D_r$ are generators for $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$. So let $m < 2r$. We first consider the operator $\mathrm{Op}(w)$ associated to an arbitrary word $w \in \mathcal{W}_m$, and later specialize to the case $w_m := y_1 \dots y_m x_1 \cdots x_m$ with $\mathrm{Op}(w_m) = L_m$. Recall that there is a graph Γ_w attached to each word $w \in \mathcal{W}_m$, and that a graph is called a *tree*, if it is connected and contains no cycles, so in particular no loops.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $m \leq 2r$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}_m^{fac}$ be a factorized word. Then,*

$$\mathrm{Op}(w) = d_w D_{h+1} + \textit{polynomial in } D_1, \dots, D_h, \textit{ where } h := \left\lfloor \tfrac{m}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Moreover, $d_w \neq 0$ if and only if $m = 2h+1$ is odd and Γ_w is a tree with m edges. In this case, $d_w = c_0$ is the constant defined by (2.5).

Proof. Let $w = w_1 \cdots w_t$ denote the decomposition of w into irreducible words $w_i \in \mathcal{W}_m$, and let $\Gamma_i = (\mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{E}_i, \epsilon_i)$ be the graph associated to w_i, so $\Gamma_w = \bigcup_{i=1}^t \Gamma_i$. Recall that the number of vertices of the graph Γ_i coincides with the length of w_i, hence the order of the differential operator $\mathrm{Op}(w_i)$ is bounded by $|\mathcal{V}_i|$, which is an even number. Due to Lemma 2.6, this implies that $\mathrm{Op}(w_i)$ is a polynomial in $D_1, \dots, D_{h_i}$ with $h_i := |\mathcal{V}_i|/2$. By definition of irreducibility, Γ_i is connected, and in this case elementary graph theory provides the estimate

$$|\mathcal{V}_i| \leq |\mathcal{E}_i| + 1. \tag{3.2}$$

We thus obtain

$$h_i \leq \frac{|\mathcal{E}_i| + 1}{2} \leq \frac{m+1}{2} \leq h + 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Since $\mathrm{Op}(w) = \mathrm{Op}(w_1) \cdots \mathrm{Op}(w_t)$, it remains to investigate the case where equality holds in this chain of estimates for some i. The last inequality is strict if and only if m is even. So we may assume that $m = 2h+1$ is odd. The second estimate is an equality if and only if $|\mathcal{E}_i| = m$. In this case, $w = w_i$, since each of the graphs $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_t$ contains at least one edge, and $\sum_{j=1}^t |\mathcal{E}_j| \leq m$. We thus may assume that w is irreducible and the associated graph Γ_w contains m edges. It is a standard fact from graph theory, that (3.2) is in fact an equality if and only if the graph is a tree. It therefore remains to show that

$$\mathrm{Op}(w) = c_0 \cdot D_{h+1} + \text{polynomial in } D_1, \dots, D_h$$

for any word $w \in \mathcal{W}_m$ such that the associated graph Γ_m is a tree with m edges. This follows from the subsequent proposition, which computes the top degree term of $\gamma(\mathrm{Op}(w))$. It turns out that this term coincides with $c_0 \cdot \gamma(D_{h+1})$, hence $\mathrm{Op}(w) - c_0 \cdot D_{h+1}$ is a differential operator of order less or equal to h. $\square$

Proposition 3.6. *Let $w \in \mathcal{W}_m$ be irreducible and such that the associated graph Γ_w is a tree. Then,*

$$\gamma(\mathrm{Op}(w)) = c_0 \cdot \gamma(D_{\ell(w)/2}) + \textit{terms of lower degree,}$$

where γ is the Harish-Chandra isomorphism (2.9)*, and c_0 is the constant defined by* (2.5)*.*

Proof. For $X \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, let $X_{\mathfrak{a}}$ denote the $S\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$-part of X according to the projection (2.7), and let $X_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathrm{top}}$ denote the top degree term of $X_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Then, $\gamma(X)^{\mathrm{top}} = X_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathrm{top}}$, since the ρ-shift in (2.8) does not affect the top term. We next determine a formula for $X_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathrm{top}}$. Since the Iwasawa decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ into the sum of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is orthogonal with respect to the Killing form, and hence also orthogonal with respect to (2.3), it follows that

$$X_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} (X|A_j')\, A_j \quad \text{for} \quad X \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $(A_j)_{j=1,\dots,r}$ is the basis of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ determined by Lemma 2.1, and $(A_j')_{j=1,\dots,r}$ is the dual basis with respect to (2.3). Now consider $X = X_1 \cdots X_r \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $X_j \in \mathfrak{g}$. Decomposing each X_j into $X_j = X_{j,\mathfrak{n}} + X_{j,\mathfrak{a}} + X_{j,\mathfrak{h}}$ according to $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$, yields

$$X_{\mathfrak{a}} = X_{1,\mathfrak{a}} \cdots X_{r,\mathfrak{a}} + \text{terms of lower degree.}$$

If $X_{j,\mathfrak{a}}$ is non-zero for all j, it follows that

$$X_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathrm{top}} = X_{1,\mathfrak{a}} \cdots X_{r,\mathfrak{a}}.$$

Identifying $S\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ via (2.3), this corresponds to the polynomial

$$X_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathrm{top}}(\zeta) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} (X_j|\zeta).$$

Applying this formula to the operator $\mathrm{Op}(w)$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Op}(w)_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathrm{top}}(\zeta) = \sum_{\alpha_j : j \in \mathcal{E}_w} \prod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{V}_w} (\lambda(X_{\alpha_1}, \dots, X_{\alpha_m}, Y_{\alpha_1}, \dots, Y_{\alpha_m})|\zeta). \tag{3.4}$$

Here, the sum involves all indices α_j that correspond to edges in $\mathcal{E}_w$, and α_j takes the values $1, \dots, n$. We thus avoid the factor in the original definition of $\mathrm{Op}(w)$ which corrected some overcounting.

We will need two steps to evaluate formula (3.4). In the first one, we show that

$$\mathrm{Op}(w)_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathrm{top}}(\zeta) = (\mu(\zeta^+, \dots, \zeta^+, \zeta^- \dots, \zeta^-)|\zeta) \tag{3.5}$$

for some letter $\mu \in \mathcal{L}$ depending on $\ell(w) - 1$ of the symbols $x_1, \dots, y_m$, and where $\zeta = \zeta^+ + \zeta^-$ according to the decomposition $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{q}_-$. The second step is to prove that the right hand side of (3.5) coincides with $\gamma(D_{\ell(w)/2})$.

First step. In order to prove (3.5), we extend the set of symbols $\mathcal{S}$ to

$$\mathcal{S}' := \mathcal{S}_+' \cup \mathcal{S}_-' \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{S}_+' := \{x_1, \dots, x_m, \zeta^+\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_-' := \{y_1, \dots, y_m, \zeta^-\},$$

and consider letters based on $\mathcal{S}'$ which are defined in the same way as before with the exception that the additional symbols ζ^+ and ζ^- may occur arbitrarily often. In addition, we demand that any letter contains at least one of the former symbols $\mathcal{S}$. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}'$, let $d_\zeta(\lambda)$ denote the number of ζ^+ and ζ^- contained in λ. The set $\mathcal{W}'_m$ of words and the associated graphs are defined as before. In this setting, we claim: If $w \in \mathcal{W}'_m$ is an irreducible word whose graph Γ_w is a tree, then

$$\sum_{\alpha_j : j \in \mathcal{E}_w} \prod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{V}_w} (\lambda(X_{\alpha_1}, \dots, Y_{\alpha_m})|\zeta) = (\mu(\zeta^+, \dots, \zeta^-)|\zeta) \tag{3.6}$$

for some letter $\mu \in \mathcal{L}'$ with $|\mu| = \ell(w) - 1 + \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{V}_w} d_\zeta(\lambda)$. In particular, this proves (3.5).

Fix an edge $j \in \mathcal{E}_w$, and let λ, λ' be the letters connected by j. Since Γ_w is a tree, $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, and we may assume that λ contains x_j, and λ' contains y_j. We aim to evaluate the sum over α_j in (3.6). In order to simplify notation, we assume that λ has negative sign, the argument for positive sign follows in the same way. Since $(\mathfrak{q}_-|\mathfrak{q}_-) = 0$, this assumption yields

$$(\lambda(X_{\alpha_1}, \dots Y_{\alpha_m})|\zeta) = (\lambda(X_{\alpha_1}, \dots Y_{\alpha_m})|\zeta^+).$$

Then, there exists a letter $\mu' \in \mathcal{L}'_-$ not containing the symbols x_j and y_j, such that

$$(\lambda(X_{\alpha_1}, \dots, Y_{\alpha_m})|\zeta_+) = (\mu'(X_{\alpha_1} \dots, Y_{\alpha_m})|X_{\alpha_j}),$$

i.e., we may interchange X_{α_j} and ζ^+ at the cost of replacing λ by a new letter μ', which involves ζ^+ instead of x_j. This can be proved in a straightforward way by means of the recursive definition of letters and using the associativity of (2.3). We omit the details. Applying the standard identity $\sum_{\alpha_j} (X|X_{\alpha_j}) Y_{\alpha_j} = X$, we conclude that

$$\sum_{\alpha_j} (\lambda(X_{\alpha_1}, \dots, Y_{\alpha_m})|\zeta)(\lambda'(X_{\alpha_1}, \dots, Y_{\alpha_m})|\zeta) = (\lambda''(X_{\alpha_1}, \dots, Y_{\alpha_m})|\zeta),$$

where λ'' is obtained from λ' by replacing y_j by μ'. If $|\mathcal{E}_w| = 1$, this already proves (3.6) with $\mu = \lambda''$. For $|\mathcal{E}_w| > 1$, consider the word w' obtained from w by omitting λ and replacing λ' by λ''. It follows that the graph $\Gamma_{w'}$ is obtained from Γ_w by identifying the vertices λ and λ' along the edge j, and then omitting this edge. In particular, $\Gamma_{w'}$ is a tree with less edges than Γ_w. Moreover, by construction, the left hand side of (3.6) remains the same, when $\mathcal{E}_w$ and $\mathcal{V}_w$ are replaced by $\mathcal{E}_{w'}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{w'}$, respectively. Therefore, (3.6) follows by induction on the number of edges.

Second step. Recall from Lemma 2.1 the decomposition of the basis elements A_j into $A_j = E_j + F_j$ with $E_j \in \mathfrak{q}_+$, $F_j \in \mathfrak{q}_-$. It follows, that

$$\zeta^+ = \sum_{j=1}^r \zeta_j E_j, \quad \zeta^- = \sum_{j=1}^r \zeta_j F_j, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\zeta_j = (\zeta|A_j')$, i.e., $\zeta = \sum_{j=1}^r \zeta_j A_j$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{L}_+$ by any letter of positive sign depending on d symbols. We claim, that

$$\mu(\zeta^+, \dots, \zeta^-) = \sum_{j=1}^r \zeta_j^d E_j, \tag{3.8}$$

and the same holds for $\mu \in \mathcal{L}_-$ with E_j replaced by F_j.
The proof goes by induction on d. For $d = 1$, we have $\mu = x_1$ or $\mu = y_1$, and (3.8) reduces to (3.7). Now let $d > 1$. We may assume μ has positive sign, the argument for negative sign is the same. Then, $\mu = \langle \mu_1\mu_2\mu_3 \rangle$ for some letters $\mu_1, \mu_3 \in \mathcal{L}_+$, $\mu_2 \in \mathcal{L}_-$ depending on $d_1, d_2, d_3 > 0$ symbols, respectively, with $d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = d$. Applying the induction hypothesis yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\zeta^+, \dots, \zeta^-) &= [[\mu_1(\zeta^+, \dots, \zeta^-), \mu_2(\zeta^+, \dots, \zeta^-)], \mu_3(\zeta^+, \dots, \zeta^-)] \\ &= \sum_{j,k,\ell=1}^r \zeta_j^{d_1}\zeta_k^{d_2}\zeta_\ell^{d_3}[[E_j, F_k], E_\ell] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r \zeta_j^{d_1+d_2+d_3} E_j,\end{aligned}$$

since $[[E_j, F_k], E_\ell] = \delta_{jk}\delta_{k\ell}E_j$ due to the commutation relations given in Lemma 2.1. This proves (3.8), and it easily follows that

$$(\mu(\zeta^+, \dots, \zeta^-)|\zeta) = c_0 \cdot (\zeta_1^{d+1} + \dots + \zeta_r^{d+1}), \tag{3.9}$$

where c_0 is the constant defined by (2.5). In summary, (3.5) and (3.9) show that

$$\mathrm{Op}(w)^{\mathrm{top}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\zeta) = c_0 \cdot (\zeta_1^{\ell(w)} + \dots \zeta_r^{\ell(w)}) = c_0 \cdot \gamma(D_{\ell(w)/2}),$$

which proves Proposition 3.6. □

We continue with the proof of Theorem 3.4, and now specialize to the word $w_m = y_1 \cdots y_m x_1 \cdots x_m$ with $\mathrm{Op}(w_m) = L_m$. Due to Proposition 3.3, w_m admits a representative

$$w_m \equiv \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}_m^{\mathrm{fac}}} n_w\, w \mod R \tag{3.10}$$

with $n_w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Applying Proposition 3.5 to each word $w \in \mathcal{W}_m^{\mathrm{fac}}$ shows that L_{2k} is indeed a polynomial in $D_1, \dots, D_k$, and L_{2k+1} is of the form

$$L_{2k+1} = c_k D_{k+1} + \text{polynomial in } D_1, \dots, D_k,$$

where the constant c_k is given by

$$c_k = c_0 \cdot \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W}_m^{\mathrm{fac}}:\ \Gamma_w \text{ tree} \\ \text{with } m \text{ edges}}} n_w.$$

It remains to show that this constant is non-zero. For this purpose, we describe a procedure which determines a factorized representative (3.10) for w_m. Since we are only interested in terms that contribute to the constant c_k, we may omit along the calculation all words, that

are products of words, and words whose graph contain cycles. This is justified by the following corollary of Proposition 3.5.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $m = 2k+1$ be odd, and $w \in \mathcal{W}_m$ be a word satisfying one of the following conditions:*

(i) Γ_w *contains a cycle,*
(ii) Γ_w *has less than* m *edges,*
(iii) $w = w_1 w_2$ *for words* $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{W}_m$.

Then, $\mathrm{Op}(w)$ *is a polynomial in* $D_1, \dots, D_k$.

Proof. Due to the proof of Proposition 3.3, a factorized representative for w is obtained by successively permuting the letters of w, i.e., applying (R1) and (R2). In this process, words are produced whose graphs have the same number of edges as Γ_w, and if Γ_w contains a cycle, then all other graphs also contain this cycle. Therefore, if w satisfies (i) or (ii), then each word in a factorized representative for w satisfies (i) or (ii). Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.5 now imply that $\mathrm{Op}(w)$ is a polynomial in $D_1, \dots, D_k$. If $w = w_1 w_2$ is a product, we have $\mathrm{Op}(w) = \mathrm{Op}(w_1)\mathrm{Op}(w_2)$, and since w_1 and w_2 are words whose graphs have less than m edges, it follows form (ii) that also $\mathrm{Op}(w)$ is a polynomial in $D_1, \dots, D_k$. □

Factorized representative of w_m modulo R'. We now determine a factorized representative of w_m modulo words that do not contribute to the constant c_k. Formally, we set $R' := R + \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{W}'_m$ with

$$\mathcal{W}'_m := \{w \in \mathcal{W}_m \,|\, w = w_1 w_2 \text{ for } w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{W}_m, \text{ or } \Gamma_w \text{ contains a cycle}\},$$

and write $w_1 \equiv' w_2$ to indicate equality of w_1 and w_2 modulo R'. As a first step, we apply (R1), (R2), and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_m &\equiv' y_m \cdots y_1 x_m \cdots x_1 \\ &\equiv' y_m \cdots y_2 x_m y_1 x_{m-1} \cdots x_1 - \sum_{j=2}^{m-1} y_m \cdots y_2 x_{m-1} \cdots \langle x_m y_1 x_j \rangle \cdots x_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here, in contrast to (R2), we omit the last term involving $\langle x_m y_1 x_1 \rangle$, since this is a word containing a loop, and hence belongs to R'. Renaming the indices by means of (R3) and reordering the letters with (R1) shows that

$$w_m \equiv' y_m \cdots y_2 x_m y_1 x_{m-1} \cdots x_1 - (m-2)\, y_m \cdots y_2 x_m \cdots x_4 \langle x_3 y_1 x_2 \rangle x_1.$$

Repeating this process successively, we commute y_1 in the first term also with x_{m-1}, x_{m-2} etc., and obtain

$$w_m \equiv' -\tbinom{m-1}{2}\, y_m \cdots y_2 x_m \cdots x_4 \langle x_3 y_1 x_2 \rangle x_1.$$

The same procedure can be used to commute y_2 to the right. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} w_m \equiv' & -\tbinom{m-1}{2}\, y_m\cdots y_3 x_m\cdots x_4\langle x_3y_1x_2\rangle x_1y_2 \\ & + \tbinom{m-1}{2}\tbinom{m-3}{2}\, y_m\cdots y_3x_m\cdots x_6\langle x_5y_2x_4\rangle\langle x_3y_1x_2\rangle x_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

We remark that words involving $\langle x_jy_2\langle x_3y_1x_2\rangle\rangle$ or $\langle x_jy_2x_1\rangle$ which appear modulo R do not occur modulo R', since there are loops or cycles contained in the associated graphs. The next step is to commute y_3 to the right. For the first word in (3.11), this yields

$$\begin{aligned} & y_m\cdots y_3x_m\cdots x_4\langle x_3y_1x_2\rangle x_1y_2 \\ & \qquad \equiv' -\tbinom{m-3}{2} y_m\cdots y_4x_m\cdots x_6\langle x_5y_3x_4\rangle\langle x_3y_1x_2\rangle x_1y_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

and the second word transforms to

$$\begin{aligned} & y_m\cdots y_3x_m\cdots x_6\langle x_5y_2x_4\rangle\langle x_3y_1x_2\rangle x_1 \\ & \quad \equiv' y_m\cdots y_4x_m\cdots x_6\langle x_5y_2x_4\rangle\langle x_3y_1x_2\rangle x_1y_3 \\ & \qquad - \tbinom{m-5}{2} y_m\cdots y_4x_m\cdots x_8y_2\langle x_7y_4x_6\rangle\langle x_5y_3x_4\rangle\langle x_3y_1x_2\rangle x_1. \end{aligned}$$

All other words occurring modulo R either have cycles contained in the associated graphs, or are products of words in $\mathcal{W}_m$, and hence belong to R'. We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_m \equiv' & \tbinom{m-1}{2}\tbinom{m-3}{2}\, y_m\cdots y_4x_m\cdots x_6\langle x_5y_3x_4\rangle\langle x_3y_1x_2\rangle x_1y_2 \\ & + \tbinom{m-1}{2}\tbinom{m-3}{2}\, y_m\cdots y_4x_m\cdots x_6\langle x_5y_2x_4\rangle\langle x_3y_1x_2\rangle x_1y_3 \\ & - \tbinom{m-1}{2}\tbinom{m-3}{2}\tbinom{m-5}{2}\, y_m\cdots y_4x_m\cdots x_8\langle x_7y_4x_6\rangle\langle x_5y_3x_4\rangle\langle x_3y_1x_2\rangle x_1y_2. \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to commute y_4 to the right. The pattern is the following: In each of the words we can decide either to put y_4 to the very right position or to pair y_4 with two unpaired x-symbols, which changes the sign, and reordering the x-symbols yields an additional binomial factor. Continuing this process, and omitting products of words (as e.g. in (3.12), where we omit the word with y_3 put to the very right position), we eventually end up with (recall that $m = 2k+1$)

$$\begin{aligned} w_m \equiv' & (-1)^k\tbinom{m-1}{2}\tbinom{m-3}{2}\cdots\tbinom{2}{2} \\ & \cdot \sum_{\substack{I\subseteq\{2,\dots,m\},\\ I=\{i_1<\cdots<i_k\}}} \langle x_my_{i_k}x_{m-1}\rangle\cdots\langle x_5y_{i_1}x_4\rangle\langle x_3y_1x_2\rangle x_1 \prod_{i\notin I} y_i. \end{aligned}$$

The graph of each word in this sum is a tree with m edges. The factor in front simplifies to $\frac{(m-1)!}{(-2)^k}$, and applying Proposition 3.5 finally yields that L_m satisfies

$$L_{2k+1} = c_kD_{k+1} + \text{polynomial in } D_1,\dots,D_k, \quad \text{where} \quad c_k := c_0\,\tfrac{(2k)!}{(-2)^k}\tbinom{2k}{k}$$

with c_0 defined by (2.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4. □

4. Higher Laplacians on semisimple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces

Let X be a semisimple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space. Then, X decomposes into the product of simple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces,

$$X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N, \tag{4.1}$$

and the displacement group of G is the product of the displacement groups G_k of the X_k,

$$G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_N.$$

This also coincides with the identity component of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ of X. Moreover, since the decomposition (4.1) coincides with the deRham–Wu decomposition [17] of X, it follows from the essential uniqueness of this decomposition that the automorphism group of X is generated by the automorphisms of the components X_k and by permutations of mutually isomorphic components, so

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \mathrm{Aut}^0(X) \rtimes \Pi, \quad \mathrm{Aut}^0(X) := \mathrm{Aut}(X_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Aut}(X_N),$$

where Π denotes the group of permutations of mutually isomorphic components in (4.1).

Recall that the higher Laplicians L_m are invariant for the full automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$. Therefore, if the higher Laplacians generate the algebra of G-invariant differential operators, then any G-invariant operator is in fact $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$-invariant. Since there exist G-invariant operators acting non-trivially just on a single component of X, it follows that the higher Laplacians cannot generate $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$ if X contains two isomorphic components, see also Remark 2.4. Therefore, it is more appropriate to consider a priori the algebra $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{Aut}(X)}(X)$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$-invariant differential operators, and ask whether the higher Laplacians generate this algebra.

Let $\mathfrak{a}_k$ be a Cartan subspace for the component X_k of X, and let W_k denote the corresponding Weyl group. For the following, we assume that each component has irreducible isotropy representation. Then, our main result shows that G_k-invariant differential operators are automatically $\mathrm{Aut}(X_k)$-invariant, and the Harish-Chandra isomorphism yields

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{Aut}(X_k)}(X_k) \cong \mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{a}_{k,\mathbb{C}})^{W_k}.$$

Therefore, the Harish-Chandra isomorphism γ identifies the algebra of $\mathrm{Aut}^0(X)$-invariant differential operators with the tensor product

$$\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{a}_{1,\mathbb{C}})^{W_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{a}_{r,\mathbb{C}})^{W_r} \cong \mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^{W_1 \times \cdots \times W_r}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_r$ is a Cartan subspace for X. Moreover, since γ is equivariant for the commutation of mutually isomorphic components,

we conclude that

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{Aut}(X)}(X) \cong \mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^{(W_1\times\cdots\times W_r)\rtimes\Pi}. \tag{4.3}$$

Formally, we may set $W := (W_1 \times \cdots \times W_r) \rtimes \Pi$ and call this the Weyl group associated to $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We show that our main Theorem 2.3 also applies to the N-fold product of a rank-1 pseudo Hermitian symmetric space.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_1$ be the N-fold product of a rank-1 pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space X_1. Then, the higher Laplacians*

$$L_1, L_3, \ldots, L_{2N-1}$$

form a set of algebraically independent generators for $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$. Moreover, for m even, L_m is a polynomial in $L_1, L_3, \ldots, L_{m-1}$.

Proof. A review of the proof of Theorem 2.3 shows that the assumption that X is simple and has irreducible isotropy representation is only essential in order to show that the polynomials $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ defined in (2.10) generate the image of the Harish-Chandra isomorphism. Here, the Harish-Chandra isomorphism is replaced by (4.3). Applying Lemma 2.1 to each component of X, we obtain a basis $A_1, \ldots, A_N$ of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and since all components are isomorphic, the constant c_0 in Lemma 2.1 is the same for each basis vector. The present assumption on X yields that $W = (W_1 \times \cdots \times W_N) \rtimes \Pi$ acts by signed permutation on the basis elements $A_1, \ldots, A_N$, as in the case of a simple pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space of rank N and with irreducible isotropy representation. Therefore, the polynomials $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ defined in (2.10) still generate the image of the Harish-Chandra isomorphism, and the assertion on the higher Laplacians follows by the same analysis as in the simple case. □

It would be interesting to clarify the relation between the higher Laplacians L_m and the polynomials p_m more thoroughly. One might conjecture, that the higher Laplacians generate the algebra of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$-invariant differential operators on X if and only if the polynomials p_m generate the algebra $\mathrm{Pol}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W$ given by (4.3).

References

[1] N. Boumuki and T. Noda, *On relation between pseudo-Hermitian symmetric pairs and para-Hermitian symmetric pairs*, Tohoku Math. J. **61** (2009), no. 1, 67–82.

[2] M. Engliš, *The asymptotics of a Laplace integral on a Kähler manifold*, J. reine angew. Math. **528** (2000), 1–39.

[3] M. Engliš and J. Peetre, *Covariant Cauchy-Riemann operators and higher Laplacians on Kähler manifolds*, J. reine angew. Math. **478** (1996), 17–56.

[4] Harish-Chandra, *Representations of semisimple Lie groups. IV.*, Amer. J. Math. **77** (1955), 743–777.

[5] S. Helgason, *Groups and geometric analysis*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 113, Academic Press Inc., Orlando, FL, 1984.

[6] O. Loos, *Bounded symmetric domains and Jordan pairs*, Lecture notes, University of California, Irvine, 1977.
[7] C.C. Moore, *Compactification of symmetric spaces II: The Cartan domains*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), no. 2, 358–378.
[8] I. Satake, *Algebraic structures of symmetric domains*, Kanô Memorial Lectures, vol. 4, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1980.
[9] B. Schwarz, *Hilbert series of nearly holomorphic sections on generalized flag manifolds*, submitted, available at arXiv.org.
[10] ———, *Nearly holomorphic sections on compact Hermitian symmetric spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), no. 2, 223–256.
[11] R.A. Shapiro, *Pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. **46** (1971), 529–548.
[12] G. Shimura, *On a class of nearly holomorphic automorphic forms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **123** (1986), 347–406.
[13] ———, *Nearly holomorphic functions on Hermitian symmetric spaces*, Math. Ann. **278** (1987), 1–28.
[14] ———, *Invariant differential operators on Hermitian symmetric spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **132** (1990), no. 2, 237–272.
[15] J.A. Tirao and J.A. Wolf, *Homogeneous holomorphic vector bundles*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1970/71), 15–31.
[16] N. R. Wallach, *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 19, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.
[17] H. Wu, *On the de Rham decomposition theorem*, Illinois J. Math. **8** (1964), 291–311.
[18] G. Zhang, *Invariant differential operators on Hermitian symmetric spaces and their eigenvalues*, Israel J. Math. **119** (2000), 157–185.
[19] ———, *Nearly holomorphic functions and relative discrete series of weighted L^2-spaces on bounded symmetric domains*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **42** (2002), 207–221.

Benjamin Schwarz, Universität Paderborn, Fakultät für Elektrotechnik, Informatik und Mathematik, Institut für Mathematik, Warburger Str. 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany
E-mail address: bschwarz@math.upb.de